

ASYMPTOTICS OF THE QUANTIZATION ERRORS FOR CONDENSATION MEASURES

MRINAL KANTI ROYCHOWDHURY

ABSTRACT. Let $P := \frac{1}{3}P \circ S_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{3}P \circ S_2^{-1} + \frac{1}{3}\nu$ be a condensation measure on $\mathbb{R}$, where $S_1(x) = \frac{1}{5}x$, $S_2(x) = \frac{1}{5}x + \frac{4}{5}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and ν is a self-similar measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support such that the quantization dimension $D(\nu)$ of ν satisfies $D(\nu) < k$, where k is the unique number given by $(\frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{5})^2)^{\frac{k}{2+k}} + (\frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{5})^2)^{\frac{k}{2+k}} = 1$. Then, with the help of a given sequence $F(n)$ we have shown that the quantization dimension $D(P)$ of the condensation measure P exists and satisfies $D(P) = k$. Moreover, we have shown that the $D(P)$ -dimensional lower quantization coefficient for the condensation measure P is infinity which was not known for a long time. Then, we give a conjecture on the existence of the quantization dimension $D(P)$, and the $D(P)$ -dimensional lower and upper quantization coefficients for a general condensation measure P .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{R}^d$ denote the d -dimensional Euclidean space equipped with the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$, and let P be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Write $\mathcal{D}_n := \{\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d : 1 \leq \text{card}(\alpha) \leq n\}$. Then, the n th quantization error for P is given by

$$V_n(P) := \inf_{\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n} \int \|x - a\|^2 dP.$$

If the infimum occurs at some $\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $1 \leq \text{card}(\alpha) \leq n$, we call α an optimal set of n -means for P . The set of all optimal sets of n -means for a probability measure P is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_n(P)$. It is known that for a continuous probability measure an optimal set of n -means contains exactly n -elements (see [GL1]), and if $\int \|x\|^2 dP < \infty$, then there is some set α for which the infimum is achieved (see [AW, GKL, GL, GL1]). The lower and upper quantization dimensions of P are defined respectively by

$$\underline{D}(P) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \log n}{-\log V_n(P)} \text{ and } \overline{D}(P) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \log n}{-\log V_n(P)}.$$

If $\underline{D}(P) = \overline{D}(P)$, we say that the quantization dimension of P exists and the common value, denoted by $D(P)$, is called the quantization dimension of P . On the other hand, for any $s > 0$, the lower and upper quantization coefficients for P are defined respectively by

$$\underline{Q}^s(P) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{s}} V_n(P) \text{ and } \overline{Q}^s(P) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{s}} V_n(P).$$

If $\underline{Q}^s(P) = \overline{Q}^s(P)$, we say that the s -dimensional quantization coefficient for P exists. It is known that if $0 < \underline{Q}^s(P) \leq \overline{Q}^s(P) < +\infty$, then $D(P) = s$ (see [GL1, GL2]). Quantization dimension measures the speed at which the specified measure of the error goes to zero as n approaches to infinity. Quantization problem arises in signal processing, data compression, cluster analysis and patten recognition. For theoretical results and promising applications of quantization one can see [BW, G, GG, GKL, GL1, GL2, GL3, GL4, GLP, GN, P1–P3, Z1, Z2]. With respect to a finite set $\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the Voronoi region generated by an element $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the set

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60Exx, 94A34, 28A80.

Key words and phrases. Optimal quantizers, quantization error, condensation measure, self-similar measure, quantization dimension, quantization coefficient.

The research of the author was supported by U.S. National Security Agency (NSA) Grant H98230-14-1-0320.

of all elements in $\mathbb{R}^d$ which are closer to a than to any other element in α , and is denoted by $M(a|\alpha)$. The set $\{M(a|\alpha) : a \in \alpha\}$ is called the *Voronoi diagram* or *Voronoi tessellation* of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with respect to α . It is called a centroidal Voronoi tessellation (CVT) with respect to a probability distribution P if $a = \frac{\int_{M(a|\alpha)} x dP}{\int_{M(a|\alpha)} dP}$ for all $a \in \alpha$, i.e., if the generators of the tessellation are also the centroids of their own Voronoi regions with respect to P (see [DFG, R1]). Notice that $a = E(X : X \in M(a|\alpha))$ for all $a \in \alpha$, where X is a random variable with probability distribution P . We now state the following proposition (see [GG, GL1]):

Proposition 1.1. *Let α be an optimal set of n -means and $a \in \alpha$. Then, (i) $P(M(a|\alpha)) > 0$, (ii) $P(\partial M(a|\alpha)) = 0$, (iii) $a = E(X : X \in M(a|\alpha))$.*

Let $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be a set of similarity mappings on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with similarity ratios $\{s_j\}_{j=1}^N$ respectively, and let ν be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with compact support C . Let $(p_j)_{j=0}^N$ be a probability vector. Following [B, L1], we call $(\{S_j\}_{j=1}^N, (p_j)_{j=0}^N, \nu)$ a condensation system. Then, there exists a unique Borel probability measure P on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with compact support K satisfying the following conditions:

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^N p_j P \circ S_j^{-1} + p_0 \nu \text{ and } K = \bigcup_{j=1}^N S_j(K) \cup C.$$

The measure P is called the *attracting measure* or the *condensation measure* for the condensation system $(\{S_j\}_{j=1}^N, (p_j)_{j=0}^N, \nu)$, and the set K , which is the support of the measure P , is called the *attractor* for the system. Such a measure P is also termed as *inhomogeneous self-similar measure* (see [OS1]). L^q spectra and Rényi dimensions of inhomogeneous self-similar measures were studied by Olsen-Snigireva, and then by Liszka (see [OS2, L2]). For some previous work on quantization dimensions of inhomogeneous self-similar measures one can see [R2, R3, Z2, Z3]. We say that $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^N$ satisfies the *open set condition* (OSC) if there exists a bounded nonempty open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $S_i(U) \cap S_j(U) = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq N$ and $S_i(U) \subset U$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. In the condensation system let ν be the self-similar measure associated with the similarity mappings $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^N$ and a probability vector $(t_j)_{j=1}^N$, i.e., ν satisfies $\nu = \sum_{j=1}^N t_j \nu \circ S_j^{-1}$.

Let $\xi = \max\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$, where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are implicitly given by

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N (t_j s_j^2)^{\frac{\xi_1}{2+\xi_1}} = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^N (p_j s_j^2)^{\frac{\xi_2}{2+\xi_2}} = 1.$$

Notice that in the above expression ξ_1 is the quantization dimension $D(\nu)$ of the self-similar measure ν (see [GL2]). Then, if $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^N$ satisfies the open set condition, it is known that (see [Z4, Theorem 1.2]) the quantization dimension $D(P)$ of the condensation measure P exists and equals ξ , and $\underline{Q}^\xi(P) > 0$. Moreover, if $\xi_1 > \xi_2$, then $\overline{Q}^\xi(P) < \infty$; and if $\xi_1 = \xi_2$, then $\underline{Q}^\xi(P) = \infty$. If $\xi_1 < \xi_2$, it remained open whether the $D(P)$ -dimensional lower and upper quantization coefficients are finite or not (see [Z4, Remark 3.7]). In this paper, we investigate an answer of it.

In this paper, we have considered the condensation measure P given by $P = \frac{1}{3}P \circ S_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{3}P \circ S_2^{-1} + \frac{1}{3}\nu$, where $S_1(x) = \frac{1}{5}x$ and $S_2(x) = \frac{1}{5}x + \frac{4}{5}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and ν is a self-similar measure on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\nu = \frac{1}{2}\nu \circ T_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\nu \circ T_2^{-1}$, where $T_1(x) = \frac{1}{7}x + \frac{12}{35}$, and $T_2(x) = \frac{1}{7}x + \frac{18}{35}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For a given sequence $F(n)$, first we have determined the optimal sets of $F(n)$ -means and the $F(n)$ th quantization error for all $n \geq 1$. Then, we have shown that the quantization dimension $D(P)$ exists, $D(P) = \max\{D(\nu), \xi_2\}$, and the $D(P)$ -dimensional quantization coefficient is infinite. Notice that in this case $D(\nu) = \xi_1$, and $\xi_1 < \xi_2$, where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are the unique numbers satisfying the relation (1) for the settings given in this paper. This leads us to give a conjecture

Conjecture 5.3 on the quantization dimension, and the lower and upper quantization coefficients for a general condensation measure P when $D(\nu) > k$, and when $D(\nu) \leq k$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give the basic definitions and lemmas that will be instrumental in our analysis. By a word ω of length k over the alphabet $I := \{1, 2\}$, we mean $\omega := \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_k \in I^k$. A word of length zero is called the empty word and is denoted by $\emptyset$. Length of a word ω is denoted by $|\omega|$. By I^* , it is meant the set of all words over the alphabet I including the empty word $\emptyset$. For any two words $\omega := \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_{|\omega|}$ and $\tau := \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{|\tau|}$ in I^* , by $\omega\tau$ it is meant the concatenation of the two words ω and τ , i.e., $\omega\tau := \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_{|\omega|} \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{|\tau|}$. Let S_1, S_2, T_1 and T_2 be the similarity mappings as defined before. Set $J := [0, 1]$ and $L := [\frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}]$. For $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_k \in I^k$, set $S_\omega := S_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ S_{\omega_k}$, $T_\omega := T_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\omega_k}$, $J_\omega := S_\omega(J)$, and $L_\omega := S_\omega(L)$. For the empty word $\emptyset$ in I^* , by $S_\emptyset$ it is meant the identity mapping on $\mathbb{R}$, and so $J_\emptyset = J$ and $L_\emptyset = L$. If C is the support of ν , then

$$C := \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \bigcup_{\omega \in I^k} T_\omega \left(\left[\frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5} \right] \right).$$

Iterating $P = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^2 P \circ S_j^{-1} + \frac{1}{3} \nu$ and $\nu = \frac{1}{2} \nu \circ T_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \nu \circ T_2^{-1}$, we have $P = \frac{1}{3^n} \sum_{|\omega|=n} P \circ S_\omega^{-1} + \frac{1}{3^n} \sum_{|\omega|=n-1} \nu \circ S_\omega^{-1} + \frac{1}{3^{n-1}} \sum_{|\omega|=n-2} \nu \circ S_\omega^{-1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{3} \nu$, i.e.,

$$(2) \quad P = \frac{1}{3^n} \sum_{|\omega|=n} P \circ S_\omega^{-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{3^{k+1}} \sum_{|\omega|=k} \nu \circ S_\omega^{-1}, \text{ and } \nu = \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{\omega \in I^k} \nu \circ T_\omega^{-1},$$

for all $k \geq 1$.

The following lemma is useful.

Lemma 2.1. *Let K be the support of the condensation measure. Then, for any $n \geq 1$,*

$$K \subset \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in I^n} J_\omega \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in I^k} L_\omega \right) \right) \subset J.$$

Proof. We have $J_1 \cup L \cup J_2 \subset J$, $J_{11} \cup L_1 \cup J_{12} \subset J_1$, and $J_{21} \cup L_2 \cup J_{22} \subset J_2$. In fact, for any $k \geq 1$, if $\omega \in I^k$, then $J_{\omega_1} \cup L_\omega \cup J_{\omega_2} \subset J_\omega$. Again, notice that for any $\omega \in I^*$, $J_{\omega_1} \cup J_{\omega_2} \subset J_\omega$, and the intervals $L_{\omega_1}, L_{\omega_2}, L_\omega$ are disjoint. Thus, it follows that

$$\left(\bigcup_{\omega \in I^n} J_\omega \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in I^k} L_\omega \right) \right) \subset J.$$

The sets being disjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P \left(\left(\bigcup_{\omega \in I^n} J_\omega \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in I^k} L_\omega \right) \right) \right) &= P \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in I^n} J_\omega \right) + P \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in I^k} L_\omega \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in I^n} P(J_\omega) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\omega \in I^k} P(L_\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in I^n} \frac{1}{3^n} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k \cdot \frac{1}{3^{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{2^n}{3^n} + \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} + \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^2 + \cdots + \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{n-1} \right) = \frac{2^n}{3^n} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1 - \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^n}{1 - \frac{2}{3}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Again, $P(K) = 1$ and K is the support of P . Hence, $K \subset \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in I^n} J_\omega \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\bigcup_{\omega \in I^k} L_\omega \right) \right)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

By equation (2), we can deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be Borel measurable and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,*

$$\int g dP = \frac{1}{3^n} \sum_{|\omega|=n} \int (g \circ S_\omega) dP + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{3^{k+1}} \sum_{|\omega|=k} \int (g \circ S_\omega) d\nu.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $E(\nu)$ represent the expected value and $W := V(\nu)$ represent the variance of the self-similar measure ν . Then, $E(\nu) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $W = \frac{3}{400}$, and for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\int (x - x_0)^2 d\nu = (x_0 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + V(\nu)$.*

Proof. $\int x d\nu = \frac{1}{2} \int (\frac{1}{7}x + \frac{12}{35}) d\nu + \frac{1}{2} \int (\frac{1}{7}x + \frac{18}{35}) d\nu$ which implies $E(\nu) = \int x d\nu = \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, we have $\int x^2 d\nu = \frac{1}{2} \int (\frac{1}{7}x + \frac{12}{35})^2 d\nu + \frac{1}{2} \int (\frac{1}{7}x + \frac{18}{35})^2 d\nu$ yielding $\int x^2 d\nu = \frac{103}{400}$. Hence, $V(\nu) = \int x^2 d\nu - (\int x d\nu)^2 = \frac{103}{400} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{400}$. For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\int (x - x_0)^2 d\nu = (x_0 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + V(\nu)$ follows from the standard theory of probability. $\square$

We now prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $E(P)$ represent the expected value and $V(P)$ represent the variance of the condensation measure P . Then, $E(P) = \frac{1}{2}$, $V(P) = \frac{131}{1168}$, and for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\int (x - x_0)^2 dP = (x_0 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + V(P)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $E(P) = \frac{1}{2}$. Now, using (2) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int x^2 dP &= \frac{1}{3} \int (S_1(x))^2 dP + \frac{1}{3} \int (S_2(x))^2 dP + \frac{1}{3} \int x^2 d\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \int \left(\frac{1}{5}x\right)^2 dP + \frac{1}{3} \int \left(\frac{1}{5}x + \frac{4}{5}\right)^2 dP + \frac{1}{3} \frac{103}{400} \\ &= \frac{1}{75} \int x^2 dP + \frac{1}{75} \int x^2 dP + \frac{8}{75} \int x dP + \frac{16}{75} + \frac{103}{1200} \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\int x^2 dP = \frac{423}{1168}$, and hence $V(P) = \int x^2 dP - (\int x dP)^2 = \frac{423}{1168} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{131}{1168}$. For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\int (x - x_0)^2 dP = (x_0 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + V(P)$ follows from the standard theory of probability. Thus, the proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

We now give the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\omega \in I^k$, $k \geq 0$, and let X be the random variable with probability distribution P . Then, $E(X : X \in J_\omega) = S_\omega(\frac{1}{2})$, and $E(X : X \in L_\omega) = S_\omega(\frac{1}{2})$. Moreover, for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, any $\omega \in I^k$, $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{J_\omega} (x - x_0)^2 dP(x) = \frac{1}{3^k} \left(\frac{1}{25^k} V + (S_\omega(\frac{1}{2}) - x_0)^2 \right), \\ \int_{L_\omega} (x - x_0)^2 dP(x) = \frac{1}{3^{k+1}} \left(\frac{1}{25^k} W + (S_\omega(\frac{1}{2}) - x_0)^2 \right), \text{ and} \\ \int_{T_\omega(L)} (x - x_0)^2 d\nu(x) = \frac{1}{2^k} \left(\frac{1}{49^k} W + (T_\omega(\frac{1}{2}) - x_0)^2 \right) \end{cases}$$

Proof. By equation (2), we have $P(J_\omega) = \frac{1}{3^k}$ and $P(L_\omega) = \frac{1}{3^{k+1}}$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, the proof follows. $\square$

Note 2.6. By Lemma 2.4, it follows that the optimal set of one-mean for the condensation measure P consists of the expected value $\frac{1}{2}$ and the corresponding quantization error is the variance $V(P)$ of P , i.e., $V(P) = V_1(P)$. In the sequel $\alpha_n := \alpha_n(P)$ and $V_n := V_n(P)$ respectively represent the optimal set of n -means and the n th quantization error for P . On the other hand, for the probability distribution ν we represent them respectively by $\alpha_n(\nu)$ and $V_n(\nu)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by [GL5], it is known that $V_{2^n}(\nu) = \frac{1}{49^n} W$.

The following lemma that appears in [CR] is true.

Lemma 2.7. (see [CR, Lemma 3.7]) Let α be an optimal set of n -means for the condensation measure P . Then, for any $\omega \in I^*$, the set $S_\omega(\alpha) := \{S_\omega(a) : a \in \alpha\}$ is an optimal set of n -means for the image measure $P \circ S_\omega^{-1}$. Conversely, if β is an optimal set of n -means for the image measure $P \circ S_\omega^{-1}$, then $S_\omega^{-1}(\beta)$ is an optimal set of n -means for P .

We now give the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. Let $\alpha_n(\nu)$ be an optimal set of n -means for ν . Then, for any $\omega \in I^k$, $k \geq 0$, $S_\omega(\alpha_n(\nu))$ is an optimal set of n -means for the image measure $\nu \circ S_\omega^{-1}$. Moreover,

$$\int_{L_\omega} \min_{a \in S_\omega(\alpha_n(\nu))} (x - a)^2 dP = \frac{1}{75^k} \frac{1}{3} V_n(\nu).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_n(\nu)$ be an optimal set of n -means for ν . By Lemma 2.7, $S_\omega(\alpha_n(\nu))$ is an optimal set of n -means for the image measure $\nu \circ S_\omega^{-1}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{L_\omega} \min_{a \in S_\omega(\alpha_n(\nu))} (x - a)^2 dP &= \frac{1}{3^{k+1}} \int_{L_\omega} \min_{a \in S_\omega(\alpha_n(\nu))} (x - a)^2 d(\nu \circ S_\omega^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{3^{k+1}} \int_L \min_{a \in S_\omega(\alpha_n(\nu))} (S_\omega(x) - a)^2 d\nu = \frac{1}{3^{k+1}} \frac{1}{25^k} \int_L \min_{a \in \alpha_n(\nu)} (x - a)^2 d\nu = \frac{1}{75^k} \frac{1}{3} V_n(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

3. ESSENTIAL LEMMAS AND PROPOSITIONS

In this section, we give some lemmas and propositions that we need to determine the optimal sets of n -means and the n th quantization error for all $n \geq 2$. To determine the quantization error we will frequently use the formulas given in the expressions (3). Also, we will use the symmetry of P , i.e., if two intervals of equal lengths are equidistant from the point $\frac{1}{2}$ then they have the same P -measure.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\alpha := \{a_1, a_2\}$ be an optimal set of two-means with $a_1 < a_2$. Then, $a_1 = \frac{43}{210}$, $a_2 = \frac{167}{210}$, and the corresponding quantization error is $V_2 = \frac{321827}{12877200} = 0.024992$.

Proof. Since P is symmetric about $\frac{1}{2}$, we can assume that $a_1 = E(X : X \in [0, \frac{1}{2}])$ and $a_2 = E(X : X \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1])$. Thus,

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{P([0, \frac{1}{2}])} \left(\int_{J_1} x dP + \int_{T_1(L)} x dP \right) = 2 \left(\frac{1}{3} S_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{6} T_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right) = \frac{43}{210}.$$

Similarly, $a_2 = 2 \left(\frac{1}{3} S_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{6} T_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right) = \frac{167}{210}$. The corresponding quantization error is given by

$$V_2 = \int \min_{a \in \alpha} (x - a)^2 dP = 2 \left(\int_{J_1} \left(x - \frac{43}{210}\right)^2 dP + \int_{T_1(L)} \left(x - \frac{43}{210}\right)^2 dP \right),$$

which yields $V_2 = 2 \left(\frac{199099}{38631600} + \frac{7771}{1058400} \right) = \frac{321827}{12877200} = 0.024992$. Thus, the proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. Let $\omega \in I^k$ for $k \geq 0$. Then,

$$\int_{J_{\omega 1} \cup S_\omega[\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{2}]} \left(x - S_\omega\left(\frac{43}{210}\right)\right)^2 dP = \frac{1}{75^k} \frac{1}{2} V_2 = \int_{S_\omega[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{5}] \cup J_{\omega 2}} \left(x - S_\omega\left(\frac{167}{210}\right)\right)^2 dP.$$

Proof. Since $\{\frac{43}{210}, \frac{167}{210}\}$ is an optimal set of two-means. Due to symmetry of the probability measure P , we have

$$\int_{J_1 \cup [\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{2}]} \left(x - \frac{43}{210}\right)^2 dP = \int_{[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{5}] \cup J_2} \left(x - \frac{167}{210}\right)^2 dP = \frac{1}{2} V_2,$$

which for any $\omega \in I^k$, $k \geq 0$, implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{J_{\omega_1} \cup S_{\omega}[\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{2}]} (x - S_{\omega}(\frac{43}{210}))^2 dP &= \frac{1}{3^k} \int_{J_{\omega_1} \cup S_{\omega}[\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{2}]} (x - S_{\omega}(\frac{43}{210}))^2 d(P \circ S_{\omega}^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{3^k} \int_{J_1 \cup [\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{2}]} (S_{\omega}(x) - S_{\omega}(\frac{43}{210}))^2 dP = \frac{1}{3^k} \frac{1}{25^k} \int_{J_1 \cup [\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{2}]} (x - \frac{43}{210})^2 dP = \frac{1}{75^k} \frac{1}{2} V_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\int_{S_{\omega}[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{5}] \cup J_{\omega_2}} (x - S_{\omega}(\frac{167}{210}))^2 dP = \frac{1}{75^k} \frac{1}{2} V_2$. Thus, the proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

From the above lemma the following corollary follows.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\omega \in I^k$ for $k \geq 0$. Then, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{J_{\omega_1} \cup S_{\omega}(T_1(L))} (x - a)^2 dP &= \frac{1}{3^k} \left(\frac{1}{25^k} \frac{1}{2} V_2 + \frac{1}{2} (S_{\omega}(\frac{43}{210}) - a)^2 \right), \text{ and} \\ \int_{S_{\omega}(T_2(L)) \cup J_{\omega_2}} (x - a)^2 dP &= \frac{1}{3^k} \left(\frac{1}{25^k} \frac{1}{2} V_2 + \frac{1}{2} (S_{\omega}(\frac{167}{210}) - a)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.4. Let $\alpha := \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ be an optimal set of three-means with $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$. Then, $a_1 = S_1(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{10}$, $a_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, and $a_3 = S_2(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{9}{10}$. The corresponding quantization error is $V_3 = \frac{481}{87600} = 0.00549087$.

Proof. Let $\beta = \{\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{9}{10}\}$. Then, using (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \min_{a \in \beta} (x - a)^2 dP &= \int_{J_1} (x - \frac{1}{10})^2 dP + \int_L (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dP + \int_{J_2} (x - \frac{9}{10})^2 dP \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{25} V + \frac{1}{3} W + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{25} V = \frac{481}{87600} = 0.00549087. \end{aligned}$$

Since V_3 is the quantization error for three-means, we have $0.00549087 \geq V_3$. Let $\alpha = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ be an optimal set of three-means with $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$. Since a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 are the centroids of their own Voronoi regions, we have $0 < a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < 1$. Suppose that $\frac{1}{5} \leq a_1$. Then, due to symmetry of P we can assume that $a_3 \leq \frac{4}{5}$ yielding

$$V_3 \geq \int_{J_1} (x - \frac{1}{5})^2 dP + \int_{J_2} (x - \frac{4}{5})^2 dP = \frac{141}{14600} = 0.00965753 > V_3,$$

which is a contradiction. So, we can assume that $a_1 < \frac{1}{5}$. Similarly, we have $\frac{4}{5} < a_3$. Suppose that $a_2 \leq \frac{2}{5}$. Then, $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{5} + \frac{4}{5}) = \frac{3}{5}$ implying

$$V_3 \geq \int_L (x - \frac{2}{5})^2 dP = \frac{1}{3} (W + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{5})^2) = \frac{7}{1200} = 0.00583333 > V_3,$$

which is a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\frac{2}{5} < a_2$. Similarly, $a_2 < \frac{3}{5}$. Thus, we have $\frac{2}{5} < a_2 < \frac{3}{5}$. We now show that the Voronoi region of a_1 does not contain any point from L . Suppose that $\frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2) \geq \frac{2}{5}$. Then, $a_2 \geq \frac{4}{5} - a_1 > \frac{4}{5} - \frac{1}{5} = \frac{3}{5}$, which is a contradiction, and so the Voronoi region of a_1 does not contain any point from L . Similarly, the Voronoi region of a_3 does not contain any point from L . In the similar fashion, we can show that the Voronoi region of a_2 does not contain any point from J_1 and J_2 . Hence,

$$a_1 = S_1(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{10}, a_2 = E(X : X \in L) = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ and } a_3 = S_2(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{9}{10},$$

and the corresponding quantization error is $V_3 = \frac{481}{87600} = 0.00549087$. Thus, the proof of the proposition is complete. $\square$

The following lemma is useful.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\beta := \{c, 1\}$, where $0 < c < 1$. Then, $\int \min_{a \in \beta} (x - a)^2 dP = \frac{3109}{87600} = 0.0354909$, and the minimum occurs when $c = \frac{3}{10}$.

Proof. Since $\frac{3}{5} < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{10} + 1) = \frac{13}{20} < \frac{4}{5}$, the distortion error due to the set $\beta := \{\frac{3}{10}, 1\}$ is obtained as

$$\int \min_{a \in \beta} (x - a)^2 dP = \int_{J_1 \cup L} (x - \frac{3}{10})^2 dP + \int_{J_2} (x - 1)^2 dP = \frac{3109}{87600} = 0.0354909.$$

Let $\alpha := \{a, 1\}$ be an optimal set of two-means for which the minimum in the hypothesis occurs, and $\tilde{V}_2$ is the corresponding quantization error. Then, $\tilde{V}_2 \leq 0.0354909$. Suppose that $a \leq \frac{1}{5}$. Then, since $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{5} + 1) = \frac{3}{5}$, we have the distortion error as

$$\int_{J_1} (x - S_1(\frac{1}{2}))^2 dP + \int_L (x - \frac{1}{5})^2 dP + \int_{J_2} (x - 1)^2 dP = \frac{3401}{87600} = 0.0388242 > \tilde{V}_2,$$

which leads to a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\frac{1}{5} < a$. If $a \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\tilde{V}_2 \geq \int_{J_1} (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dP + \int_L (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dP = \frac{837}{14600} = 0.0573288 > \tilde{V}_2,$$

which is a contradiction. Suppose that $\frac{2}{5} \leq a < \frac{1}{2}$. Then, as $\frac{3}{5} < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} + 1) = \frac{3}{4} < \frac{4}{5}$, we have

$$\tilde{V}_2 \geq \int_{J_1} (x - \frac{2}{5})^2 dP + \int_{T_2(L)} (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dP + \int_{J_2} (x - 1)^2 dP = \frac{6583}{175200} = 0.0375742 \geq \tilde{V}_2,$$

which gives a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\frac{1}{5} < a < \frac{2}{5}$, and then notice that $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{5} + 1) = \frac{3}{5} < \frac{1}{2}(a + 1) < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{5} + 1) < \frac{4}{5}$ yielding the fact that $a = E(X : X \in J_1 \cup L) = \frac{1}{P(J_1 \cup L)}(P(J_1)S_1(\frac{1}{2}) + P(L)\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{3}{10}$, and the corresponding quantization error is $\tilde{V}_2 = \frac{3109}{87600} = 0.0354909$. Thus, the proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. Let $\beta := \{c, \frac{1}{5}\}$, where $0 < c < \frac{1}{5}$. Then, $\int_{J_1} \min_{a \in \beta} (x - a)^2 dP = \frac{3109}{6570000} = 0.000473212$, and the minimum occurs when $c = \frac{3}{50}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{J_1} \min_{a \in \beta} (x - a)^2 dP &= \frac{1}{3} \int_{J_1} \min_{a \in \beta} (x - a)^2 d(P \circ S_1^{-1}) = \frac{1}{3} \int \min_{a \in \beta} (S_1(x) - a)^2 dP \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \int \min_{a \in S_1^{-1}(\beta)} (S_1(x) - S_1(a))^2 dP = \frac{1}{75} \int \min_{a \in S_1^{-1}(\beta)} (x - a)^2 dP = \frac{3109}{6570000} = 0.000473212, \end{aligned}$$

which occurs when $c = \frac{3}{50}$. $\square$

Proposition 3.7. The set $\{S_1(\frac{1}{2}), T_1(\frac{1}{2}), T_2(\frac{1}{2}), S_2(\frac{1}{2})\}$ is an optimal set of four-means with quantization error $V_4 = \frac{13057}{4292400} = 0.00304189$.

Proof. The distortion error due to the set $\beta := \{S_1(\frac{1}{2}), T_1(\frac{1}{2}), T_2(\frac{1}{2}), S_2(\frac{1}{2})\}$ is given by

$$\int \min_{a \in \beta} (x - a)^2 dP = \frac{1}{75}V + \frac{1}{3}V_2(\nu) + \frac{1}{75}V = \frac{13057}{4292400} = 0.00304189.$$

Since V_4 is the quantization error for four-means, we have $V_4 \leq 0.00304189$. Let $\alpha = \{0 < a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4 < 1\}$ be an optimal set of four-means for P . If $a_1 > \frac{1}{5}$, then

$$V_4 \geq \int_{J_1} (x - \frac{1}{5})^2 dP = \frac{141}{29200} = 0.00482877 > V_4,$$

which leads to a contradiction. So, we can assume that $a_1 < \frac{1}{5}$, and similarly, $\frac{4}{5} < a_4$. Suppose that $\alpha \cap L = \emptyset$. Assume that $a_2 \leq \frac{19}{60}$. Then due to symmetry $\frac{41}{60} \leq a_3$ yielding

$$V_4 \geq \int_L \min_{a \in \alpha} (x - a)^2 dP = 2 \int_{T_1(L)} (x - \frac{19}{60})^2 dP = \frac{61}{18900} = 0.00322751 > V_4,$$

which gives a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\frac{19}{60} \leq a_2$ and $a_3 \leq \frac{41}{60}$. Then, due to symmetry of P , the following two cases can arise:

Case I. $\frac{19}{60} \leq a_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{19}{60} + \frac{2}{5} \right) = \frac{43}{120}$ and $\frac{77}{120} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{5} + \frac{41}{60} \right) \leq a_3 \leq \frac{41}{60}$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2) < \frac{1}{5}$ implying $a_1 < \frac{2}{5} - a_2 \leq \frac{2}{5} - \frac{19}{60} = \frac{1}{12} < \frac{1}{10}$ and similarly, $\frac{9}{10} < \frac{11}{12} < a_4$, otherwise, the quantization error can be strictly reduced by moving the points a_2 to $\frac{2}{5}$ and a_3 to $\frac{3}{5}$. Notice that $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{19}{60}) = \frac{1}{5}$. Thus, by Corollary 3.3, we have

$$V_4 \geq 2 \left(\int_{S_1(T_2(L)) \cup S_1(J_2)} \left(x - \frac{1}{12}\right)^2 dP \right) + 2 \int_{T_1(L)} \left(x - \frac{43}{120}\right)^2 dP = \frac{122837}{36792000} = 0.00333869 > V_4,$$

which leads to a contradiction.

Case II. $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{19}{60} + \frac{2}{5} \right) = \frac{43}{120} \leq a_2 < \frac{2}{5}$ and $\frac{3}{5} < a_3 \leq \frac{77}{120} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{5} + \frac{41}{60} \right)$.

In this case $\frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2) < \frac{1}{5}$ implies $a_1 < \frac{2}{5} - a_2 \leq \frac{2}{5} - \frac{43}{120} = \frac{1}{24}$, and similarly $\frac{23}{24} < a_4$. Moreover, $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{24} + \frac{43}{120}) = \frac{1}{5}$. Thus,

$$V_4 \geq 2 \left(\int_{S_1(T_2(L)) \cup S_1(J_2)} \left(x - \frac{1}{24}\right)^2 dP \right) + 2 \int_{T_1(L)} \left(x - \frac{2}{5}\right)^2 dP = \frac{185617}{36792000} = 0.00504504 > V_4,$$

which is a contradiction.

By Case I and Case II, we have $\alpha \cap L \neq \emptyset$. We now show that α contains exactly one point from each of J_1 and J_2 . Since $\alpha \cap L \neq \emptyset$, without any loss of generality assume that $\text{card}(\alpha \cap J_1) = 2$ and $\text{card}(\alpha \cap J_2) = 1$. Then, notice that the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha \cap L$ does not contain any point from J_1 and J_2 . Thus, the distortion error is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \int \min_{a \in \alpha} (x - a)^2 dP &= \int_{J_1} \min_{a \in S_1(\alpha_2)} (x - a)^2 dP + \int_L \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \int_{J_2} \left(x - S_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^2 dP \\ &= \frac{1}{75} V_2 + \frac{1}{3} W + \frac{1}{75} V = \frac{4180577}{965790000} = 0.00432866 > V_4, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to a contradiction. Hence, α contains exactly one element from each of J_1 and J_2 .

We now show that α does not contain any point from the open intervals $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$ and $(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that α contains a point from $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$. Since, α contains points from J_1 , J_2 and L , we can assume that $a_1 \in J_1$, $a_2 \in (\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$, $a_3 \in L$ and $a_4 \in J_4$. The following two cases can arise:

Case 1. $\frac{3}{10} \leq a_2 < \frac{2}{5}$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2) < \frac{1}{5}$ implies $a_1 < \frac{2}{5} - a_2 \leq \frac{2}{5} - \frac{3}{10} = \frac{1}{10}$, in fact, P being continuous, we can take $a_1 \leq \frac{1}{10}$, and notice that $E(X : X \in J_1) = S_1(\frac{1}{10}) = \frac{1}{10}$. Thus,

$$V_4 \geq \int_{J_1} \left(x - \frac{1}{10}\right)^2 dP + \int_{T_1(L)} \left(x - \frac{2}{5}\right)^2 dP + \int_{J_2} \left(x - S_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^2 dP = \frac{1247}{408800} = 0.00305039 > V_4,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $\frac{1}{5} < a_2 \leq \frac{3}{10}$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_2 + a_3) > \frac{2}{5}$ implying $a_3 > \frac{4}{5} - a_2 \geq \frac{4}{5} - \frac{3}{10} = \frac{1}{2}$. Again, using Corollary 3.6, we have,

$$\int_{J_1} \min_{a \in \{a_1, a_2\}} (x - a)^2 dP = \frac{3109}{6570000},$$

and so

$$V_4 \geq \frac{3109}{6570000} + \int_{T_1(L)} \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 dP + \int_{J_2} \left(x - S_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^2 dP = \frac{42293}{13140000} = 0.00321865 > V_4,$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus, we see that α does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$. Reflecting the situation with respect to the point $\frac{1}{2}$, we can also show that α does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$. Since α contains exactly one point from each of J_1 and J_2 , and α does not contain any point from the open intervals $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$ and $(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$, α contains two points from L , yielding the fact that $\alpha = \{S_1(\frac{1}{2}), T_1(\frac{1}{2}), T_2(\frac{1}{2}), S_2(\frac{1}{2})\}$, which is the proposition. $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *Let α be an optimal set of five-means. Then, $\alpha \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha \cap J_2 \neq \emptyset$, and $\alpha \cap L \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, α does not contain any point from the open intervals $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$ and $(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$.*

Proof. Let $\beta := \{S_1(\frac{43}{210}), S_1(\frac{167}{210}), T_1(\frac{1}{2}), T_2(\frac{1}{2}), S_2(\frac{1}{2})\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \min_{a \in \beta} (x - a)^2 dP \\ &= 2 \left(\int_{J_{11} \cup S_1(T_1(L))} (x - S_1(\frac{43}{210}))^2 dP + \int_{T_1(L)} (x - T_1(\frac{1}{2}))^2 dP \right) + \int_{J_2} (x - S_2(\frac{1}{2}))^2 dP \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{1}{75} \frac{1}{2} V_2 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{49} W \right) + \frac{1}{75} V = \frac{1815377}{965790000} = 0.00187968. \end{aligned}$$

Since V_5 is the quantization error for five-means, we have $V_5 \leq 0.00187968$. Let $\alpha = \{0 < a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4 < a_5 < 1\}$ be an optimal set of five-means. As shown in Proposition 3.7, we have $0 < a_1 < \frac{1}{5}$ and $\frac{4}{5} < a_5 < 1$ implying $\alpha \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha \cap J_2 \neq \emptyset$. We now show that $\alpha \cap L \neq \emptyset$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that $\alpha \cap L = \emptyset$. Suppose that $a_4 < \frac{2}{5}$. Then,

$$V_5 \geq \int_L (x - \frac{2}{5})^2 dP = \frac{1}{3} (W + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{5})^2) = \frac{7}{1200} = 0.00583333 > V_4,$$

which leads to a contradiction. Next, suppose that $a_3 < \frac{2}{5}$ and $\frac{3}{5} < a_4$. If $a_3 \leq \frac{19}{60}$ and $\frac{41}{60} \leq a_4$, then

$$V_5 \geq \int_{T_1(L)} (x - \frac{19}{60})^2 dP + \int_{T_2(L)} (x - \frac{41}{60})^2 dP = \frac{61}{18900} = 0.00322751 > V_5,$$

which yields a contradiction. So, the following two cases can arise:

Case 1. $\frac{19}{60} \leq a_3 < \frac{2}{5}$ and $\frac{41}{60} \leq a_4$. Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_2 + a_3) < \frac{1}{5}$ implies $a_2 < \frac{1}{12}$. Moreover,

$$\int_{J_2} \min_{a \in \alpha} (x - a)^2 dP = \int_{J_2} \min_{a \in \{a_4, a_5\}} (x - a)^2 dP \geq \int_{J_2} \min_{a \in S_2(\alpha_2)} (x - a)^2 dP = \frac{1}{75} V_2.$$

Again, $T_1(\frac{3}{5}) = \frac{3}{7} < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{5} + \frac{41}{60}) < \frac{4}{7} = T_2(\frac{2}{5})$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} V_5 &\geq \int_{S_1(T_2(L)) \cup S_1(J_2)} (x - \frac{1}{12})^2 dP + \int_{T_1(L)} (x - \frac{2}{5})^2 dP + \int_{T_2(L)} (x - \frac{41}{60})^2 dP + \frac{1}{75} V_2 \\ &= \frac{6043069}{1931580000} = 0.00312856 > V_5, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $\frac{19}{60} \leq a_3 < \frac{2}{5}$ and $\frac{3}{5} < a_4 \leq \frac{41}{60}$.

Then, $a_2 < \frac{1}{12}$ and $\frac{11}{12} < a_5$. So, by symmetry

$$V_5 \geq 2 \left(\int_{S_1(T_2(L)) \cup S_1(J_2)} (x - \frac{1}{12})^2 dP + \int_{T_1(L)} (x - \frac{2}{5})^2 dP \right) = \frac{65209}{27594000} = 0.00236316 > V_5$$

which leads to a contradiction.

Hence, we can assume that $\alpha \cap L \neq \emptyset$. We now show that $\text{card}(\alpha \cap L) = 2$. Since $\alpha \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset \neq \alpha \cap J_2$. We must have $1 \leq \text{card}(\alpha \cap L) \leq 3$. If $\text{card}(\alpha \cap L) = 3$, then

$$V_5 \geq 2 \int_{J_1} (x - S_1(\frac{1}{2}))^2 dP = \frac{2}{75} V = \frac{131}{43800} = 0.00299087 > V_5,$$

which gives a contradiction. So, $1 \leq \text{card}(\alpha \cap L) \leq 2$. Suppose that $\text{card}(\alpha \cap L) = 1$. Then, due to symmetry, the following two cases can arise:

Case I. $a_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $a_2 \leq \frac{1}{3}$, and $\frac{2}{3} \leq a_4$.

In this case, $T_{11}(\frac{3}{5}) < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2}) < T_{12}(\frac{2}{5})$ and $T_{21}(\frac{3}{5}) < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}) < T_{22}(\frac{2}{5})$. Moreover,

$$\int_{J_1} \min_{a \in \{a_1, a_2\}} (x - a)^2 dP + \int_{J_2} \min_{a \in \{a_4, a_5\}} (x - a)^2 dP \geq \frac{2}{75} V_2.$$

Thus,

$$V_5 \geq 2 \left(\int_{T_{11}(L)} (x - \frac{1}{3})^2 dP + \int_{T_{12}(L)} (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dP \right) + \frac{2}{75} V_2 = \frac{757793}{321930000} = 0.00235391 > V_5,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case II. $a_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3} \leq a_2 < \frac{2}{5}$, and $\frac{3}{5} < a_4 \leq \frac{2}{3}$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2) < \frac{1}{5}$ implies $a_1 < \frac{2}{5} - a_2 \leq \frac{2}{5} - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{15}$. Similarly, $\frac{14}{15} \leq a_5$. Notice that $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{3}) = \frac{1}{5}$ and $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{14}{15}) = \frac{4}{5}$ yielding,

$$V_5 \geq 2 \int_{S_1(T_2(L)) \cup S_1(J_2)} (x - \frac{1}{15})^2 dP = \frac{29231}{9198000} = 0.00317797,$$

which leads to another contradiction.

Hence, we can assume that $\text{card}(\alpha \cap L) = 2$. We now show that α does not contain any point from the open intervals $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$ and $(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that α contains a point from the open interval $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$. Since $\alpha \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset \neq \alpha \cap J_2$, and $\text{card}(\alpha \cap L) = 2$, α does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$. The following two cases can arise:

Case A. $\frac{3}{10} \leq a_2 < \frac{2}{5}$, and $a_3, a_4 \in L$ and $a_5 \in J_2$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2) < \frac{1}{5}$ implying $a_1 < \frac{1}{10}$. Thus,

$$V_5 \geq \int_{S_1(T_2(L)) \cup S_1(J_2)} (x - \frac{1}{10})^2 dP + \int_{J_2} (x - S_2(\frac{1}{2}))^2 dP = \frac{131}{58400} = 0.00224315 > V_5,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case B. $\frac{1}{5} < a_2 \leq \frac{3}{10}$, and $a_3, a_4 \in L$ and $a_5 \in J_2$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_2 + a_3) > \frac{2}{5}$ implying $a_3 > \frac{4}{5} - a_2 \geq \frac{4}{5} - \frac{3}{10} = \frac{1}{2} > T_1(\frac{3}{5})$. Again, using Corollary 3.6, we have,

$$\int_{J_1} \min_{a \in \{a_1, a_2\}} (x - a)^2 dP = \frac{3109}{6570000},$$

and so

$$V_5 \geq \frac{3109}{6570000} + \int_{T_1(L)} (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dP + \int_{J_2} (x - S_2(\frac{1}{2}))^2 dP = \frac{42293}{13140000} = 0.00321865 > V_5,$$

which leads to a contradiction.

Thus, we see that α does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$. Reflecting the situation with respect to the point $\frac{1}{2}$, we can also show that α does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$. Thus, the proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

Proposition 3.9. *Let α_n be an optimal set of n -means for all $n \geq 3$. Then, $\alpha_n \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha_n \cap J_2 \neq \emptyset$, and $\alpha_n \cap L \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, α_n does not contain any point from the open intervals $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$ and $(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.4, Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 3.8, we see that the proposition is true for all $3 \leq n \leq 5$. We now show that the proposition is true for all $n \geq 6$. Let $\alpha_n = \{0 < a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \dots < a_n < 1\}$ be an optimal set of n -means for all $n \geq 6$. Consider the set of six points

$$\beta = \{S_{11}(\frac{43}{210}), S_{12}(\frac{167}{210}), T_1(\frac{1}{2}), T_2(\frac{1}{2}), S_{21}(\frac{43}{210}), S_{22}(\frac{167}{210})\}.$$

Then, $\int \min_{a \in \beta} (x - a)^2 dP = \frac{692929}{965790000} = 0.000717474$. Since V_n is the quantization error for n -means for all $n \geq 6$, we have $V_n \leq V_6 \leq 0.000717474$. Proceeding in the similar way as shown in the proof of Proposition 3.7, we have $0 < a_1 < \frac{1}{5}$ and $\frac{4}{5} < a_4 < 1$ implying $\alpha_n \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha_n \cap J_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let $j = \max\{i : a_i < \frac{2}{5} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Then, $a_j < \frac{2}{5}$. We now show that

$\alpha_n \cap L \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\alpha_n \cap L = \emptyset$. First, assume that $\frac{3}{10} \leq a_j < \frac{2}{5}$. Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_{j-1} + a_j) < \frac{1}{5}$ implies $a_{j-1} < \frac{2}{5} - a_j \leq \frac{2}{5} - \frac{3}{10} = \frac{1}{10}$. Notice that $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{3}{10}) = \frac{1}{5}$. So, we have

$$V_n \geq \int_{S_1(T_2(L)) \cup S_1(J_2)} (x - \frac{1}{10})^2 dP = \frac{131}{175200} = 0.000747717 > V_n,$$

which is a contradiction. Next, assume that $a_j \leq \frac{3}{10}$. Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_j + a_{j+1}) > \frac{2}{5}$ implying $a_{j+1} > \frac{4}{5} - a_j \geq \frac{4}{5} - \frac{3}{10} = \frac{1}{2}$. In fact, $a_{j+1} > \frac{3}{5}$ as $\alpha_n \cap L = \emptyset$, and so

$$V_n \geq \int_{T_1(L)} (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dP = \frac{1}{800} = 0.00125 > V_n,$$

which yields another contradiction. So, we can assume that $\alpha_n \cap L \neq \emptyset$. Next, we show that α_n does not contain any point from the open intervals $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$ and $(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$. Suppose that α_n contains a point from the open interval $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$, i.e., $\frac{1}{5} < a_k < \frac{2}{5}$, where $k = \max\{i : a_i < \frac{2}{5} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Two cases can arise:

Case I. $\frac{3}{10} \leq a_k < \frac{1}{5}$.

In this case $a_{k-1} < \frac{1}{10}$ which as before yields

$$V_n \geq \int_{S_1(T_2(L)) \cup S_1(k_2)} (x - \frac{1}{10})^2 dP = \frac{131}{175200} = 0.000747717 > V_n,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case II. $\frac{1}{5} < a_k \leq \frac{3}{10}$.

Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_k + a_{k+1}) > \frac{2}{5}$ implies $a_{k+1} > \frac{1}{2}$. Since $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{10} + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{2}{5}$, we have

$$V_n \geq \int_{T_1(L)} (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dP = \frac{1}{800} = 0.00125 > V_n,$$

which leads to a contradiction.

By Case I and Case II, we can assume that α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$. Reflecting the situation with respect to $\frac{1}{2}$, we can also assume that α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$. Hence, the proof of the proposition is complete. $\square$

4. OPTIMAL SETS AND THE QUANTIZATION ERROR FOR A GIVEN SEQUENCE $F(n)$

In this section we first define the two sequences $\{a(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{F(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$. These two sequences play an important role in the rest of the paper.

Definition 4.1. Define the sequence $\{a(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $a(1) = 1$, and $a(n) = n - 1$ for all $n \geq 2$. Define the sequence $\{F(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $F(n) = (n + 3)2^{n-1}$, i.e.,

$$\{F(n)\}_{n \geq 1} = \{4, 10, 24, 56, 128, 288, 640, 1408, 3072, 6656, 14336, 30720, 65536, \dots\}.$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $a(n)$ and $F(n)$ be the sequences defined by Definition 4.1. Then, $F(n + 1) = 2^{a(n+1)} + 2F(n)$.

Proof. We have, $2^{a(n+1)} + 2F(n) = 2^n + (n + 3)2^n = (n + 4)2^n = F(n + 1)$, and thus the lemma follows. $\square$

For $1 \leq \ell \leq n$, write $S(\ell) := \cup_{\omega \in I^{n-\ell}} S_\omega(\alpha_{2^a(\ell)}(\nu))$ and $S^{(2)}(\ell) := \cup_{\omega \in I^{n-\ell}} S_\omega(\alpha_{2^{a(\ell)+1}}(\nu))$. Notice that if $\ell = n$, then $S(n) = \alpha_{2^a(n)}(\nu)$. Moreover, write

$$S(0) := \{S_\omega(\frac{1}{2}) : \omega \in I^n\},$$

$$S^{(2)}(0) := \{S_\omega(\alpha_2(P)) : \omega \in I^n\} = \{S_\omega(\frac{43}{210}), S_\omega(\frac{167}{210}) : \omega \in I^n\}, \text{ and}$$

$$S^{(2)(2)}(0) := \cup_{\omega \in I^n} S_\omega(\alpha_{2^a(1)}(\nu)) \cup \{S_\omega(\frac{1}{2}) : \omega \in I^{n+1}\}.$$

For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, if $A := S(\ell)$, we identify $S^{(2)}(\ell)$ and $S^{(2)(2)}(\ell)$ respectively by $A^{(2)}$ and $A^{(2)(2)}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$(4) \quad \alpha_{F(n)} := S(n) \cup S(n-1) \cup S(n-2) \cup \cdots \cup S(1) \cup S(0),$$

and

$$SF(n) := \{S(n), S(n-1), S(n-2), \dots, S(1), S(0)\}.$$

In addition, write

$$SF^*(n) := \{S(n), S(n-1), \dots, S(0), S^{(2)}(0)\}.$$

For any element $a \in A \in SF^*(n)$, by the Voronoi region of a it is meant the Voronoi region of a with respect to the set $\cup_{B \in SF^*(n)} B$. Similarly, for any $a \in A \in SF(n)$, by the Voronoi region of a it is meant the Voronoi region of a with respect to the set $\cup_{B \in SF(n)} B$. Notice that if $a, b \in A$, where $A \in SF(n)$ or $A \in SF^*(n)$, the error contributed by a in the Voronoi region of a equals to the error contributed by b in the Voronoi region of b . Let us now define an order $>$ on the set $SF^*(n)$ as follows: For $A, B \in SF^*(n)$ by $A > B$ it is meant that the error contributed by any element $a \in A$ in the Voronoi region of a is larger than the error contributed by any element $b \in B$ in the Voronoi region of b . Similarly, we define the order relation $>$ on the set $SF(n)$.

Remark 4.3. By Definition 4.1, we have

$$\alpha_{F(n)} = S_1(\alpha_{F(n-1)}) \cup \alpha_{2a(n)}(\nu) \cup S_2(\alpha_{F(n-1)}).$$

Lemma 4.4. Let $>$ be the order relation on $SF^*(n)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} S(2) &> S(0) > S(3) > S(4) > \cdots > S(11) > S^{(2)}(0) > S(12) \\ &> S(13) > \cdots > S(18) > S(1) > S(19) > S(20) > \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any $n \geq k \geq 1$, the distortion error due to any element in the set $S(k)$ is given by $\frac{1}{75^{n-k}} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2a(k)} \frac{1}{49a(k)} W$. On the other hand, the distortion error due to the set $S(0)$ and $S^{(2)}(0)$ are respectively given by $\frac{1}{75^n} V$ and $\frac{1}{75^n} \frac{1}{2} V_2$. Thus, $S(2) > S(0) > S(3)$ will be true if $\frac{1}{3} \frac{75^2}{98} W > V > \frac{1}{3} \frac{75^3}{98^2} W$ which is clearly true. Thus, $S(2) > S(0) > S(3)$. For $n > k \geq 2$, the inequality $S(k) > S(k+1)$ is true if $1 > \frac{75}{98}$ which is obvious. Moreover, since $\frac{1}{3} \frac{75^{11}}{98^{10}} W > \frac{1}{2} V_2 > \frac{1}{3} \frac{75^{12}}{98^{11}} W$, we have $S(11) > S^{(2)}(0) > S(12)$. Again, $\frac{75^{18}}{98^{17}} > \frac{75}{98} > \frac{75^{19}}{98^{18}}$ yields $S(18) > S(1) > S(19)$. Combining all these inequalities, we see that the lemma follows. $\square$

Remark 4.5. Lemma 4.4 implies that if $n = 1$, then $S(0) > S^{(2)}(0) > S(1)$; if $n = 2$, then $S(2) > S(0) > S^{(2)}(0) > S(1)$; if $n = 3$, then $S(2) > S(0) > S(3) > S^{(2)}(0) > S(1)$; and so on.

Lemma 4.6. Let $\alpha_{F(n)}$ and $SF(n)$ be the sets as defined before. Then,

$$\alpha_{F(n+1)} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n S^{(2)}(k) \cup S^{(2)(2)}(0).$$

Proof. The proof clearly follows from the definitions of $S(k)$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$, and $S^{(2)}(0)$. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. For any two sets $A, B \in SF^*(n)$, let $A > B$. Then, the distortion error due to the set $(SF^*(n) \setminus A) \cup A^{(2)} \cup B$ is less than the distortion error due to the set $(SF^*(n) \setminus B) \cup B^{(2)} \cup A$.

Proof. We have $SF^*(n) = \{S(n), S(n-1), \dots, S(1), S(0), S^{(2)}(0)\}$. Let $V_{SF^*(n)}$ be the distortion error due to the set $SF^*(n)$. First, take $A = S(k)$ and $B = S(k')$ for some $2 \leq k < k' \leq n$. Then, by Lemma 4.4, $A > B$. The distortion error due to the set $(SF^*(n) \setminus A) \cup A^{(2)} \cup B$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad V_{SF^*(n)} &- \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-k} \frac{1}{3} \frac{W}{49a(k)} + \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-k} \frac{1}{3} \frac{W}{49a(k)+1} + \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-k'} \frac{1}{3} \frac{W}{49a(k')} \\ &= V_{SF^*(n)} - \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-k} \frac{1}{3} \frac{48W}{49^k} + \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-k'} \frac{1}{3} \frac{W}{49^{k'-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, The distortion error due to the set $(SF^*(n) \setminus B) \cup B^{(2)} \cup A$ is

$$(6) \quad V_{SF^*(n)} - \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-k'} \frac{1}{3} \frac{48W}{49^{k'}} + \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-k} \frac{1}{3} \frac{W}{49^{k-1}}.$$

Thus, (5) will be less than (6) if $\left(\frac{98}{75}\right)^{k'-k} > 1$, which is clearly true since $k' > k$. Similarly, we can prove the lemma for any two elements $A, B \in SF^*(n)$. Thus, the proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *For any $n \geq 1$ the set $\alpha_{F(n)}$ is an optimal set of $F(n)$ -means for the condensation measure P with quantization error given by*

$$V_{F(n)} := V_{F(n)}(P) = \begin{cases} \frac{13057}{4292400} & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \frac{69071}{6170325} \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-1} - \frac{3}{368} \left(\frac{1}{49}\right)^{n-1} & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.7, $\alpha_{F(1)}$ is an optimal set of $F(1)$ -means with quantization error $\frac{13057}{4292400}$. Let $\alpha_{F(n)}$ be an optimal set of $F(n)$ -means for some $n \geq 1$. We show that $\alpha_{F(n+1)}$ is an optimal set of $F(n+1)$ -means. We have $\alpha_{F(n)} = \cup_{A \in SF(n)} A$. In the first step, let $A(1) \in SF(n)$ be such that $A(1) > B$ for any other $B \in SF(n)$. Recall that by Proposition 3.9, an optimal set of n -means for any $n \geq 3$ does not contain any point from the open intervals $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5})$ and $(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$. Thus, proceeding in the similar way as in the proof of Lemma 4.7, we see that the set $(\alpha_{F(n)} \setminus A(1)) \cup A^{(2)}(1)$ gives an optimal set of $F(n) - \text{card}(A(1)) + \text{card}(A^{(2)}(1))$ -means. In the 2nd step, let $A(2) \in (SF(n) \setminus \{A(1)\}) \cup \{A^{(2)}(1)\}$ be such that $A(2) > B$ for any other set $B \in (SF(n) \setminus \{A(1)\}) \cup \{A^{(2)}(1)\}$. Then, using the similar technique as the proof of Lemma 4.7, we can show that the distortion error due to the following set:

$$(7) \quad \left(((\alpha_{F(n)} \setminus A(1)) \cup A^{(2)}(1)) \setminus A(2) \right) \cup A^{(2)}(2)$$

with cardinality $F(n) - \text{card}(A(1)) + \text{card}(A^{(2)}(1)) - \text{card}(A(2)) + \text{card}(A^{(2)}(2))$ is smaller than the distortion error due to the set obtained by replacing $A(2)$ in the set (7) by any other set $A'(2)$ having the same cardinality as $A(2)$. In other words, $\left(((\alpha_{F(n)} \setminus A(1)) \cup A^{(2)}(1)) \setminus A(2) \right) \cup A^{(2)}(2)$ forms an optimal set of $F(n) - \text{card}(A(1)) + \text{card}(A^{(2)}(1)) - \text{card}(A(2)) + \text{card}(A^{(2)}(2))$ -means. Proceeding inductively in this way, up to $(n+2)$ steps, we can see that $\alpha_{F(n+1)} = \left(\bigcup_{A \in (SF(n) \setminus S(0))} A^{(2)} \right) \cup S^{(2)}(2)(0)$ forms an optimal set of $F(n+1)$ -means. Thus, by the induction principle, we can say that for any $n \geq 1$, the set $\alpha_{F(n)}$ forms an optimal set of $F(n)$ -means with quantization error $V_{F(n)}$ as given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \min_{a \in \alpha_{F(n)}} (x - a)^2 dP &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\omega \in I^k} \int_{L_\omega} \min_{a \in S_\omega(\alpha_{2a(n-k)}(\nu))} (x - a)^2 dP + \sum_{\omega \in I^n} \int_{J_\omega} (x - S_\omega(\frac{1}{2}))^2 dP \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\omega \in I^k} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{75^k} \frac{W}{49^{a(n-k)}} + \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^n V = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^k \frac{W}{49^{a(n-k)}} + \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^n V \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^k \frac{W}{49^{n-k-1}} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-1} \frac{W}{49} + \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^n V \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{W}{49} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-1} - \left(\frac{1}{49}\right)^{n-1}}{\frac{2}{75} - \frac{1}{49}} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-1} \frac{W}{49} + \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^n V \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{W}{49} \frac{3675}{23} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{W}{49} + \frac{2}{75} V \right) - \left(\frac{1}{49}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{3} \frac{W}{49} \frac{3675}{23} \end{aligned}$$

yielding

$$V_{F(n)} = \frac{69071}{6170325} \left(\frac{2}{75}\right)^{n-1} - \frac{3}{368} \left(\frac{1}{49}\right)^{n-1}.$$

Thus, the proof of the proposition is complete. $\square$

5. ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE n TH QUANTIZATION ERROR $V_n(P)$

In this section, we show that the quantization dimension $D(P)$ of the condensation measure P exists, and the $D(P)$ -dimensional quantization coefficient for P is infinite.

We first prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let P be the condensation measure associated with the self-similar measure ν and k be the unique number given by $(\frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{5})^2)^{\frac{k}{2+k}} + (\frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{5})^2)^{\frac{k}{2+k}} = 1$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \log n}{-\log V_n(P)} = k$, i.e., the quantization dimension $D(P)$ of the measure P exists and equals k .*

Proof. $(\frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{5})^2)^{\frac{k}{2+k}} + (\frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{5})^2)^{\frac{k}{2+k}} = 1$ implies $k = \frac{2 \log 2}{\log 75 - \log 2}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 4$, let $\ell(n)$ be the least positive integer such that $F(\ell(n)) \leq n < F(\ell(n) + 1)$. Then, $V_{F(\ell(n)+1)} < V_n \leq V_{F(\ell(n))}$. Thus, we have

$$\frac{2 \log (F(\ell(n)))}{-\log (V_{F(\ell(n)+1)})} < \frac{2 \log n}{-\log V_n} < \frac{2 \log (F(\ell(n) + 1))}{-\log (V_{F(\ell(n))})}.$$

Notice that when $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\ell(n) \rightarrow \infty$. Recall that $F(\ell(n)) = (\ell(n) + 3)2^{\ell(n)-1}$, and so by Proposition 4.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\ell(n) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \log (F(\ell(n)))}{-\log (V_{F(\ell(n)+1)})} &= 2 \lim_{\ell(n) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\ell(n) + 3) + (\ell(n) - 1) \log 2}{-\log \left(\frac{69071}{6170325} \left(\frac{2}{75} \right)^{\ell(n)} - \frac{3}{368} \left(\frac{1}{49} \right)^{\ell(n)} \right)} \\ &= 2 \lim_{\ell(n) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{69071}{6170325} \left(\frac{2}{75} \right)^{\ell(n)} - \frac{3}{368} \left(\frac{1}{49} \right)^{\ell(n)}}{-\frac{69071}{6170325} \left(\frac{2}{75} \right)^{\ell(n)} \log \frac{2}{75} + \frac{3}{368} \left(\frac{1}{49} \right)^{\ell(n)} \log \frac{1}{49}} \left(\frac{1}{\ell(n) + 3} + \log 2 \right) \\ &= \frac{2 \log 2}{-\log \frac{2}{75}} = \frac{2 \log 2}{\log 75 - \log 2} = k. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\lim_{\ell(n) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \log (F(\ell(n)+1))}{-\log (V_{F(\ell(n))})} = k$. Thus, $k \leq \liminf_n \frac{2 \log n}{-\log V_n} \leq \limsup_n \frac{2 \log n}{-\log V_n} \leq k$ implying the fact that the quantization dimension of the measure P exists and equals k . $\square$

Theorem 5.2. *The $D(P)$ -dimensional quantization coefficient for the condensation measure P is infinity.*

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 4$, let $\ell(n)$ be the least positive integer such that $F(\ell(n)) \leq n < F(\ell(n) + 1)$. Then, $V_{F(\ell(n)+1)} < V_n \leq V_{F(\ell(n))}$ implying $(F(\ell(n)))^{2/k} V_{F(\ell(n)+1)} < n^{2/k} V_n < (F(\ell(n) + 1))^{2/k} V_{F(\ell(n))}$. As $\ell(n) \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(\ell(n))}{F(\ell(n) + 1)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\ell(n) + 3)2^{\ell(n)-1}}{(\ell(n) + 4)2^{\ell(n)}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Next, since $k = \frac{2 \log 2}{\log 75 - \log 2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F(\ell(n)))^{2/k} V_{F(\ell(n)+1)} &= \frac{1}{4^{1/k}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F(\ell(n) + 1))^{2/k} V_{F(\ell(n)+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{4^{1/k}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\ell(n) + 4)^{2/k} 2^{2\ell(n)/k} \left(\frac{69071}{6170325} \left(\frac{2}{75} \right)^{\ell(n)} - \frac{3}{368} \left(\frac{1}{49} \right)^{\ell(n)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4^{1/k}} \lim_{\ell(n) \rightarrow \infty} (\ell(n) + 4)^{2/k} \left(\frac{75}{2} \right)^{\ell(n)} \left(\frac{69071}{6170325} \left(\frac{2}{75} \right)^{\ell(n)} - \frac{3}{368} \left(\frac{1}{49} \right)^{\ell(n)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4^{1/k}} \lim_{\ell(n) \rightarrow \infty} (\ell(n) + 4)^{2/k} \left(\frac{69071}{6170325} - \frac{3}{368} \left(\frac{75}{98} \right)^{\ell(n)} \right) = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F(\ell(n) + 1))^{2/k} V_{F(\ell(n))} = 4^{1/k} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F(\ell(n)))^{2/k} V_{F(\ell(n))} = \infty$$

yielding the fact that $\infty \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2/k} V_n(P) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2/k} V_n(P) \leq \infty$, i.e., the $D(P)$ -dimensional quantization coefficient for the condensation measure P is infinity. $\square$

Conjecture 5.3. Let P be the condensation measure generated by the condensation system $(\{S_1, S_2\}, (p_0, p_1, p_2), \nu)$, where S_1, S_2 are two similarity mappings on $\mathbb{R}$ with similarity ratios s_1 and s_2 , and ν is a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support. Assume that the quantization dimension $D(\nu)$ of ν exists and let k be the unique number satisfying $(p_1 s_1^2)^{\frac{k}{2+k}} + (p_2 s_2^2)^{\frac{k}{2+k}} = 1$. Further assume that $\{S_1(K), S_2(K), C\}$ satisfies the strong separation condition, in other words, $S_1(K), S_2(K)$, and C are pairwise disjoint, where K is the support of P and C is the support of ν . Then, the quantization dimension $D(P)$ of the condensation measure P exists and satisfies $D(P) = \max\{k, D(\nu)\}$. Moreover, if $D(\nu) > k$, then the $D(P)$ dimensional lower and upper quantization coefficients are finite and positive, and if $D(\nu) \leq k$, then the $D(P)$ -dimensional lower quantization coefficient is infinity.

Remark 5.4. We say that $\{S_1(K), S_2(K), C\}$ satisfies the *inhomogeneous open set condition* (IOSC) if there exists a bounded nonempty open subset U of $\mathbb{R}$ such that the following three conditions are satisfied: $S_i(U) \subset U$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$, $S_i(U) \cap C^0 = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$, and $S_1(U) \cap S_2(U) = \emptyset$ (see [OS2]), where C^0 represent the interior of the set C . Notice that if $\{S_1(K), S_2(K), C\}$ satisfies the strong separation condition, it also satisfies the IOSC.

REFERENCES

- [AW] E.F. Abaya and G.L. Wise, *Some remarks on the existence of optimal quantizers*, Statistics & Probability Letters, Volume 2, Issue 6, December 1984, pp. 349-351.
- [B] M.F. Barnsley, *Fractals everywhere*, Academic Press, New York, London, 1988.
- [BW] J.A. Bucklew and G.L. Wise, *Multidimensional asymptotic quantization theory with r th power distortion measures*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 1982, Vol. 28 Issue 2, 239-247.
- [CR] D. Çömez and M.K. Roychowdhury, *Quantization of probability distributions on R -triangles*, arXiv:1605.09701 [math.DS].
- [DFG] Q. Du, V. Faber and M. Gunzburger, *Centroidal Voronoi Tessellations: Applications and Algorithms*, SIAM Review, Vol. 41, No. 4 (1999), 637-676.
- [G] P. M. Gruber, *Optimum quantization and its applications*, Advances in Mathematics 186 (2004) 456-497.
- [GG] A. Gersho and R.M. Gray, *Vector quantization and signal compression*, Kluwer Academy publishers: Boston, 1992.
- [GKL] R.M. Gray, J.C. Kieffer and Y. Linde, *Locally optimal block quantizer design*, Information and Control, 45 (1980), 178-198.
- [GL] A. György and T. Linder, *On the structure of optimal entropy-constrained scalar quantizers*, IEEE transactions on information theory, 48, 416-427 (2002).
- [GL1] S. Graf and H. Luschgy, *Foundations of quantization for probability distributions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1730, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [GL2] S. Graf and H. Luschgy, *The quantization dimension of self-similar probabilities*, Math. Nachrichten 241, 103-109 (2002).
- [GL3] S. Graf and H. Luschgy, *Asymptotics of the Quantization Errors for Self-Similar Probabilities*, Real Anal. Exchange, Volume 26, Number 2 (2000), 795-810.
- [GL4] S. Graf and H. Luschgy, *Quantization for probability distribution with respect to the geometric mean error*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., vol. 136 (2004), pp. 687-717.
- [GL5] S. Graf and H. Luschgy, *The quantization of the Cantor distribution*, Math. Nachr. 183, 113-133 (1997).
- [GLP] S. Graf, H. Luschgy, and G. Pagés, *The local quantization behavior of absolutely continuous probabilities*, Ann. Probab., Volume 40, Number 4 (2012), 1795-1828.
- [L1] A. Lasota, *A variational principle for fractal dimensions*, Nonlinear Analysis, Volume 64, Issue 3, 618-628 (2006).
- [L2] P. Liszka, *The L^q spectra and Rényi dimension of generalized inhomogeneous self-similar measures*, Cent. Eur. J. Math., 12(9), 1305-1319 (2014).
- [GN] R. Gray and D. Neuhoff, *Quantization*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 44 (1998), 2325-2383.

- [P1] P.L. Zador, *Asymptotic quantization error of continuous signals and the quantization dimension*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 1982, Vol. 28 Issue 2, 139-149.
- [P2] K. Pötzlberger, *The quantization dimension of distributions*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (2001), 131, 507-519.
- [P3] G. Pagés, *A space quantization method for numerical integration*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 89 (1997), 1-38.
- [OS1] L. Olsen and N. Snigireva, *Multifractal spectra of in-homogenous self-similar measures*, Indiana U. Math. J. 57 (2008), 1789-1844.
- [OS2] L. Olsen and N. Snigireva, *L^q spectra and Rényi dimensions of in-homogeneous self-similar measures*, Nonlinearity, 20(1), 151-175 (2007).
- [R1] M.K. Roychowdhury, *Quantization and centroidal Voronoi tessellations for probability measures on dyadic Cantor sets*, arXiv:1509.06037 [math.DS].
- [R2] M.K. Roychowdhury, *Quantization dimension estimate of inhomogeneous self-similar measures*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 61 (2013), 35-45.
- [R3] M.K. Roychowdhury, *Quantization dimension estimate for condensation systems of conformal mappings*, Real Analysis Exchange, 2013, Vol. 38, Issue 2, p 317-335.
- [Z1] R. Zam, *Lattice Coding for Signals and Networks: A Structured Coding Approach to Quantization, Modulation, and Multiuser Information Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [Z2] P.L. Zador, *Asymptotic quantization error of continuous signals and the quantization dimensions*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 28 (1982), 139-148.
- [Z3] S. Zhu, *Quantization dimension for condensation systems*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 259 (2008), 33-43.
- [Z4] S. Zhu, *Asymptotic quantization errors for in-homogeneous self-similar measures supported on self-similar sets*, Science China Mathematics, Volume 59, Issue 2, pp 337-350 (2016).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS RIO GRANDE VALLEY,
1201 WEST UNIVERSITY DRIVE, EDINBURG, TX 78539-2999, USA.

E-mail address: `mrinal.roychowdhury@utrgv.edu`